IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLN.NO.230 OF 2007

Dr.Prakash Satappa Bandiwadekar ..Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondents

...

Mr.P.P.Runwal advocate for applicant
Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh A.P.P. for the State

...

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.
DATE : APRIL 3, 2007

P.C.

1. Leave to amend granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.

2. Heard the learned advocate for the applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

3. The applicant is seeking temporary bail in C.R.No. 36 of 1998 of Chandgad Police Station. The said case is under Section 302 of IPC.

4. The temporary bail is being sought by the applicant on the ground of ill health of his wife. It is the case of the applicant that his wife has

to undergo hysterectomy.

5. It is seen that the applicant is involved in four other cases. All the said four cases are under Section 302 of IPC.

6. The learned advocate for the applicant has pointed out that in all the four cases, applicant has been released on bail. On the other hand, the learned A.P.P. has pointed out that applicant was a proclaimed offender and he was absconding for more than eight years. Moreover, he has pointed out that the High Court as per order dated 19.9.2006, has directed the trial Court to complete the trial within six months.

7. Looking to all these facts, I am not inclined to grant temporary bail to the applicant.

8. Application is rejected.

[Smt. V.K.Tahilramani, J.]